| 119TH CONGRESS | \mathbf{C} | |
|----------------|--------------|--|
| 1st Session | | |
| | | |

To require the executive branch to develop a whole-of-government strategy to disrupt growing cooperation among the People's Republic of China, the Russian Federation, the Islamic Republic of Iran, and the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, which are the foremost adversaries of the United States, and mitigate the risks posed to the United States.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. Coons (for himself and Mr. McCormick) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on

A BILL

To require the executive branch to develop a whole-of-government strategy to disrupt growing cooperation among the People's Republic of China, the Russian Federation, the Islamic Republic of Iran, and the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, which are the foremost adversaries of the United States, and mitigate the risks posed to the United States.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

| 1 | CECORION 1 | SHORT TITLE. |
|---|------------|--------------|
| 1 | SECTION | SHORTTITLE |

26

2 This Act may be cited as the "Defending International Security by Restricting Unacceptable Partner-3 ships and Tactics Act" or "DISRUPT Act". 4 5 SEC. 2. FINDINGS. 6 Congress makes the following findings: 7 (1) The People's Republic of China, the Rus-8 sian Federation, the Islamic Republic of Iran, and the Democratic People's Republic of Korea are each 9 10 considered— 11 (A) a foreign adversary (as defined in sec-12 tion 825(d) of the National Defense Authoriza-13 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2024 (Public Law 14 118–31; 137 Stat. 322; 46 U.S.C. 50309 15 note)); 16 (B) a country of risk (as defined in section 17 6432(a) of the Servicemember Quality of Life 18 Improvement and National Defense Authoriza-19 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (Public Law 20 118–159; 138 Stat. 2488; 42 U.S.C. 7144b 21 note)) for purposes of assessing counterintel-22 ligence risks posed by certain visitors to Na-23 tional Laboratories; 24 (C) a foreign country of concern (as defined in section 10612(a) of the Research and 25

Development, Competition, and Innovation Act

| 1 | (Public Law 117–167; 136 Stat. 1635; 42 |
|----|--|
| 2 | U.S.C. 19221 note)); |
| 3 | (D) a covered foreign country (as defined |
| 4 | in section 164 of the Servicemember Quality of |
| 5 | Life Improvement and National Defense Au- |
| 6 | thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2025 (Public |
| 7 | Law 118159; 138 Stat. 1818; 10 U.S.C. 4651 |
| 8 | note prec.)) for purposes of a prohibition on op- |
| 9 | eration, procurement, and contracting relating |
| 10 | to foreign-made light detection and ranging |
| 11 | technology; and |
| 12 | (E) a covered foreign country (as defined |
| 13 | in section 1622 of the National Defense Au- |
| 14 | thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (Public |
| 15 | Law 11781; 135 Stat. 2086; 10 U.S.C. 421 |
| 16 | note prec.)) for purposes of a strategy and plan |
| 17 | to implement certain defense intelligence re- |
| 18 | forms. |
| 19 | (2) According to the 2025 Intelligence Commu- |
| 20 | nity Annual Threat Assessment, the United States |
| 21 | faces an increasingly contested and dangerous global |
| 22 | landscape as the four adversaries named in para- |
| 23 | graph (1) deepen cooperation in a manner that— |
| 24 | (A) reinforces threats posed by each such |
| 25 | adversary individually; and |

| 1 | (B) poses new challenges to the strength |
|----|---|
| 2 | and power of the United States globally. |
| 3 | (3) Much of the cooperation referred to in para- |
| 4 | graph (2) is occurring bilaterally, as the People's |
| 5 | Republic of China, the Russian Federation, the Is- |
| 6 | lamic Republic of Iran, and the Democratic People's |
| 7 | Republic of Korea strengthen diplomatic, economic |
| 8 | and military ties in accordance with bilateral agree- |
| 9 | ments, which include— |
| 10 | (A) the Treaty on Friendship, Cooperation |
| 11 | and Mutual Assistance between China and the |
| 12 | Democratic People's Republic of Korea, signed |
| 13 | at Beijing July 11, 1961; |
| 14 | (B) the Joint Statement on Comprehensive |
| 15 | Strategic Partnership between the Islamic Re- |
| 16 | public of Iran and the People's Republic of |
| 17 | China, issued on March 27, 2021; |
| 18 | (C) the Joint Statement of the Russian |
| 19 | Federation and the People's Republic of China |
| 20 | on International Relations Entering a New Era |
| 21 | and Global Sustainable Development, issued on |
| 22 | February 4, 2022; |
| 23 | (D) the Treaty on Comprehensive Stra- |
| 24 | tegic Partnership between the Russian Federa- |
| | |

| 1 | tion and the Democratic People's Republic of |
|----|--|
| 2 | Korea, signed at Pyongyang June 18, 2024; |
| 3 | (E) the Iranian-Russian Treaty on Com- |
| 4 | prehensive Strategic Partnership, signed at |
| 5 | Moscow January 17, 2025; and |
| 6 | (F) traditional relations of friendship and |
| 7 | cooperation between the Islamic Republic of |
| 8 | Iran and the Democratic People's Republic of |
| 9 | Korea. |
| 10 | (4) The most concerning forms of such coopera- |
| 11 | tion with respect to the interests of the United |
| 12 | States occur bilaterally in the realm of defense co- |
| 13 | operation. Examples include the following: |
| 14 | (A) The transfer and sharing of weapons |
| 15 | and munitions. Since 2022, the Islamic Repub- |
| 16 | lic of Iran has supplied the Russian Federation |
| 17 | with drones and ballistic missiles, and the |
| 18 | Democratic People's Republic of Korea has pro- |
| 19 | vided artillery ammunition and ballistic mis- |
| 20 | siles. Likewise, the Russian Federation has |
| 21 | agreed to provide the Islamic Republic of Iran |
| 22 | with Su-35 fighter jets and air defense assist- |
| 23 | ance. |
| 24 | (B) The transfer and sharing of dual-use |
| 25 | technologies and capabilities. Dual-use goods |

MCC25746 NWR S.L.C.

supplied by the People's Republic of China have enabled the Russian Federation to continue defense production in the face of wide-ranging sanctions and export controls intended to prevent the Russian Federation from accessing the necessary components to fuel its defense industry. In turn, reporting indicates that the Russian Federation has provided technical expertise on satellite technology to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and is working closely with the People's Republic of China on air defense and submarine technology.

(C) Joint military activities and exercises.

(C) Joint military activities and exercises. The military forces of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea are actively participating in the Russian Federation's invasion of Ukraine, and joint military exercises between the People's Republic of China and the Russian Federation are expanding in scope, scale, and geographic reach, including in close proximity to territory of the United States.

(D) Coordination on disinformation and cyber operations, including coordinated messaging aimed at denigrating and isolating the United States internationally.

| 1 | (5) Adversaries of the United States are also |
|----|---|
| 2 | cooperating in a manner that may circumvent |
| 3 | United States and multilateral economic tools. Ex- |
| 4 | amples include the following: |
| 5 | (A) The continued purchase by the Peo- |
| 6 | ple's Republic of China of oil from the Islamic |
| 7 | Republic of Iran despite sanctions imposed by |
| 8 | the Treasury of the United States on oil from |
| 9 | the Islamic Republic of Iran. |
| 10 | (B) The veto by the Russian Federation |
| 11 | of, and abstention by the People's Republic of |
| 12 | China in a vote on, a United Nations Security |
| 13 | Council resolution relating to monitoring United |
| 14 | Nations Security Council-levied sanctions on the |
| 15 | Democratic People's Republic of Korea. |
| 16 | (6) Adversaries of the United States are cooper- |
| 17 | ating multilaterally in international institutions such |
| 18 | as the United Nations and through expanded multi- |
| 19 | lateral groupings, such as the Brazil-Russia-India- |
| 20 | China-South Africa group (commonly known as |
| 21 | "BRICS"), to isolate and erode the influence of the |
| 22 | United States. |
| 23 | (7) Such increased cooperation and alignment |
| 24 | among the People's Republic of China, the Russian |
| 25 | Federation, the Islamic Republic of Iran, and the |

| 1 | Democratic People's Republic of Korea, to an un- |
|----|--|
| 2 | precedented extent, poses a significant threat to |
| 3 | United States interests and national security. |
| 4 | (8) Such increasing alignment— |
| 5 | (A) allows each such adversary to mod- |
| 6 | ernize its military more quickly than previously |
| 7 | anticipated; |
| 8 | (B) enables unforeseen breakthroughs in |
| 9 | capabilities through the sharing among such ad- |
| 10 | versaries of critical military technologies, which |
| 11 | could erode the technological edge of the United |
| 12 | States Armed Forces; |
| 13 | (C) presents increasing challenges to strat- |
| 14 | egies of isolation or containment against such |
| 15 | individual adversaries, since the People's Re- |
| 16 | public of China, the Russian Federation, the Is- |
| 17 | lamic Republic of Iran, and the Democratic |
| 18 | People's Republic of Korea now provide critical |
| 19 | lifelines to each other; |
| 20 | (D) threatens the effectiveness of United |
| 21 | States economic tools, as such adversaries co- |
| 22 | operate to evade United States sanctions and |
| 23 | export controls and seek to establish alternative |
| 24 | payment mechanisms that do not require trans- |
| 25 | actions in United States dollars; and |

| 1 | (E) increases the chances of United States |
|----|--|
| 2 | conflict or tensions with any one of such adver- |
| 3 | saries drawing in another, thereby posing a |
| 4 | greater risk that the United States will have to |
| 5 | contend with simultaneous threats from such |
| 6 | adversaries in one or more theaters. |
| 7 | SEC. 3. STATEMENT OF POLICY. |
| 8 | It is the policy of the United States— |
| 9 | (1) to disrupt or frustrate the most dangerous |
| 10 | aspects of cooperation between and among the Peo- |
| 11 | ple's Republic of China, the Russian Federation, the |
| 12 | Islamic Republic of Iran, and the Democratic Peo- |
| 13 | ple's Republic of Korea, including by using the |
| 14 | threat of sanctions and export controls, bringing |
| 15 | such cooperation to light, and sharing information |
| 16 | with United States allies and partners who may— |
| 17 | (A) share the concerns and objectives of |
| 18 | the United States; and |
| 19 | (B) have influence over such adversaries; |
| 20 | (2) to constrain such grouping from expanding |
| 21 | its footprint or capabilities across the world; and |
| 22 | (3) to prepare for the increasing likelihood that |
| 23 | the United States could face simultaneous challenges |
| 24 | or conflict with multiple such adversaries in multiple |
| | |

| 1 | theaters, including by bolstering deterrence across |
|----|---|
| 2 | all priority theaters. |
| 3 | SEC. 4. TASK FORCES AND REPORTS. |
| 4 | (a) Task Forces on Adversary Alignment.— |
| 5 | (1) In general.—Not later than 60 days after |
| 6 | the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary |
| 7 | of State, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of |
| 8 | the Treasury, the Secretary of Commerce, the Direc- |
| 9 | tor of National Intelligence, and the Director of the |
| 10 | Central Intelligence Agency shall each— |
| 11 | (A) establish a task force on adversary |
| 12 | alignment; and |
| 13 | (B) designate a point of contact on adver- |
| 14 | sary alignment, who shall serve as the head of |
| 15 | the task force for the applicable department, of- |
| 16 | fice, or agency. |
| 17 | (2) Requirements.—Each task force estab- |
| 18 | lished pursuant to paragraph (1) shall— |
| 19 | (A) comprise— |
| 20 | (i) subject matter experts covering |
| 21 | each of— |
| 22 | (I) the People's Republic of |
| 23 | China; |
| 24 | (II) the Russian Federation; |

MCC25746 NWR

S.L.C.

| • | | | |
|---|-----|---|--|
| 1 | - 1 | 1 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | (III) the Islamic Republic of |
|----|--|
| 2 | Iran; and |
| 3 | (IV) the Democratic People's Re- |
| 4 | public of Korea; |
| 5 | (ii) representatives covering all core |
| 6 | functions of the department, office, or |
| 7 | agency of the Secretary or Director estab- |
| 8 | lishing the task force; and |
| 9 | (iii) a mix of analysts, operators, and |
| 10 | senior management; |
| 11 | (B) ensure that the task force members |
| 12 | have the requisite security clearances and ac- |
| 13 | cess to critical compartmented information |
| 14 | streams necessary to assess and understand the |
| 15 | full scope of adversary cooperation, including |
| 16 | how events in one theater might trigger actions |
| 17 | in another; and |
| 18 | (C) not later than 180 days after the date |
| 19 | of the enactment of this Act, submit to the Sec- |
| 20 | retary or Director who established the task |
| 21 | force, and to the appropriate committees of |
| 22 | Congress, a report— |
| 23 | (i) evaluating the impact of adversary |
| 24 | alignment on the relevant operations car- |

| 1 | ried out by the individual department, of |
|----|---|
| 2 | fice, or agency of the task force; and |
| 3 | (ii) putting forth recommendations for |
| 4 | such organizational changes as the task |
| 5 | force considers necessary to ensure the de- |
| 6 | partment, office, or agency of the task |
| 7 | force is well positioned to routinely evalu- |
| 8 | ate and respond to the rapidly evolving na- |
| 9 | ture of adversary cooperation and the at- |
| 10 | tendant risks. |
| 11 | (3) Quarterly interagency meeting.—Not |
| 12 | less frequently than quarterly, the heads of the task |
| 13 | forces established under this section shall meet to |
| 14 | discuss findings, problems, and next steps with re- |
| 15 | spect to adversary alignment. |
| 16 | (b) Report on Nature, Trajectory, and Risks |
| 17 | OF BILATERAL COOPERATION BETWEEN, AND MULTILAT- |
| 18 | ERAL COOPERATION AMONG, ADVERSARIES OF THE |
| 19 | United States.— |
| 20 | (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after |
| 21 | the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director |
| 22 | of National Intelligence, in coordination with the |
| 23 | head of any Federal agency the Director considers |
| 24 | appropriate, shall submit to the President, any Fed- |
| 25 | eral officer of Cabinet-level rank the Director con- |

| 1 | siders appropriate, and the appropriate committees |
|----|---|
| 2 | of Congress, a report on bilateral and multilateral |
| 3 | cooperation among adversaries of the United States |
| 4 | and the resulting risks of such cooperation. |
| 5 | (2) Elements.—The report required by para- |
| 6 | graph (1) shall include the following: |
| 7 | (A) A description of the current nature |
| 8 | and extent of bilateral or multilateral coopera- |
| 9 | tion among the People's Republic of China, the |
| 10 | Russian Federation, the Islamic Republic of |
| 11 | Iran, and the Democratic People's Republic of |
| 12 | Korea across the diplomatic, information, mili- |
| 13 | tary, and economic spheres, and an assessment |
| 14 | of the advantages that accrue to each adversary |
| 15 | from such cooperation. |
| 16 | (B) An assessment of the trajectory for co- |
| 17 | operation among the adversaries described in |
| 18 | subparagraph (A) during the 5-year period be- |
| 19 | ginning on the date on which the report is sub- |
| 20 | mitted. |
| 21 | (C) An outline of the risks to the United |
| 22 | States and allied diplomatic, military, intel- |
| 23 | ligence, and economic operations, and broader |
| 24 | security interests around the world, including |
| 25 | the following: |

| 1 | (i) The risk of technology transfers |
|----|--|
| 2 | dramatically increasing the military capa- |
| 3 | bilities of adversaries of the United States |
| 4 | and the impact on the relative balance of |
| 5 | United States and allied capabilities as |
| 6 | compared to that of the adversary. |
| 7 | (ii) The risk posed to the United |
| 8 | States by efforts made by adversaries to |
| 9 | establish alternate payment systems, in |
| 10 | particular with respect to the dominance of |
| 11 | the United States dollar and the effective- |
| 12 | ness of United States sanctions and export |
| 13 | control tools. |
| 14 | (iii) The risk that an adversary of the |
| 15 | United States might assist or otherwise en- |
| 16 | able another adversary of the United |
| 17 | States in the event that one or more adver- |
| 18 | saries become party to a conflict with the |
| 19 | United States. |
| 20 | (iv) The risk that adversary coopera- |
| 21 | tion poses a growing threat to United |
| 22 | States intelligence collection efforts. |
| 23 | (D) An evaluation of the vulnerabilities |
| 24 | and tension points within such adversary bilat- |
| 25 | eral or multilateral relationships, and an assess- |

| 1 | ment of the likely effect of efforts by the United |
|----|---|
| 2 | States to separate adversaries. |
| 3 | (3) FORM.—The report submitted pursuant to |
| 4 | paragraph (1) shall be submitted in classified form. |
| 5 | (c) REPORT ON STRATEGIC APPROACH.— |
| 6 | (1) In general.—Not later than 180 days |
| 7 | after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec- |
| 8 | retary of State and the Secretary of Defense, in con- |
| 9 | sultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, the |
| 10 | Secretary of Commerce, the Director of National In- |
| 11 | telligence, and the Director of the Central Intel- |
| 12 | ligence Agency, shall submit to the appropriate com- |
| 13 | mittees of Congress a report outlining the strategic |
| 14 | approach of the United States to adversary align- |
| 15 | ment and the necessary steps to disrupt, frustrate, |
| 16 | constrain, and prepare for adversary cooperation |
| 17 | during the two-year period beginning on the date of |
| 18 | the enactment of this Act. |
| 19 | (2) Elements.—The report required by para- |
| 20 | graph (1) shall include the following: |
| 21 | (A) A detailed description of the methods |
| 22 | and tools available to the United States to dis- |
| 23 | rupt the most dangerous elements of adversary |
| 24 | cooperation, including the growing connectivity |
| | |

| 1 | between the defense industrial bases of each ad- |
|----|--|
| 2 | versary. |
| 3 | (B) A timeline for using diplomatic en- |
| 4 | gagement, intelligence diplomacy, security co- |
| 5 | operation, and foreign assistance, as appro- |
| 6 | priate— |
| 7 | (i) to educate allies and partners |
| 8 | about the increasing risk of adversary |
| 9 | alignment; |
| 10 | (ii) to secure the support of allies and |
| 11 | partners in combating adversary align- |
| 12 | ment; and |
| 13 | (iii) to assess and help address, as ap- |
| 14 | propriate, the vulnerabilities and capability |
| 15 | gaps of allies and partners to counter |
| 16 | threats from adversary alignment. |
| 17 | (C) A plan for ensuring the integrity of |
| 18 | United States methods of economic statecraft, |
| 19 | including an assessment of the efficiency of the |
| 20 | United States sanctions and export control en- |
| 21 | forcement apparatus and any accompanying |
| 22 | resourcing requirements. |
| 23 | (D) A clear plan to bolster deterrence |
| 24 | within the priority theaters of the Indo-Pacific |
| 25 | region, Europe, and the Middle East by— |

| 1 | (1) increasing United States and allied |
|----|---|
| 2 | munitions stockpiles, particularly such |
| 3 | stockpiles that are most critical for sup- |
| 4 | porting frontline partners such as Israel |
| 5 | Taiwan, and Ukraine in the event of ag- |
| 6 | gression by a United States adversary; |
| 7 | (ii) facilitating collaborative efforts |
| 8 | with allies for the co-production, co-main- |
| 9 | tenance, and co-sustainment of critical mu- |
| 10 | nitions and platforms required by the |
| 11 | United States and allies and partners of |
| 12 | the United States in the event of a future |
| 13 | conflict with the People's Republic of |
| 14 | China, the Russian Federation, the Islamic |
| 15 | Republic of Iran, or the Democratic Peo- |
| 16 | ple's Republic of Korea; and |
| 17 | (iii) more effectively using funding |
| 18 | through the United States Foreign Mili- |
| 19 | tary Financing program to support allied |
| 20 | and partner domestic defense production |
| 21 | that can contribute to deterrence in each |
| 22 | such priority theater. |
| 23 | (E) A plan for digitizing and updating |
| 24 | war-planning tools of the Department of De- |
| 25 | fense not later than 1 year after the date or |
| | |

1 which the report is submitted to ensure that 2 United States war planners are better equipped 3 to update and modify war plans in the face of 4 rapidly evolving information on adversary co-5 operation. 6 (F) An assessment of the capability gaps 7 and vulnerabilities the United States would face 8 in deterring an adversary in the event that the 9 United States is engaged in a conflict with an-10 other adversary, and a plan to work with allies 11 and partners to address such gaps 12 vulnerabilities. 13 (3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph 14 (1) shall be submitted in classified form. 15 (d) Appropriate Committees of Congress De-FINED.—In this section, the term "appropriate commit-16 tees of Congress" means— 17 18 (1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Se-19 lect Committee on Intelligence, the Committee on 20 Foreign Relations, the Committee on Appropria-21 tions, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and 22 Urban Affairs, and the Committee on Commerce, 23 Science, and Transportation of the Senate; and (2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Per-24 25 manent Select Committee on Intelligence, the Com19

MCC25746 NWR S.L.C.

1 mittee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Appro-

- 2 priations, the Committee on Financial Services, and
- 3 the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the
- 4 House of Representatives.